EFFICIENCY OF GEOTHERMAL POWER PLANTS: A WORLDWIDE REVIEW

Hyungsul Moon and Sadiq J. Zarrouk*

Department of Engineering Science, University of Auckland, New Zealand

*s.zarrouk@aucklanduni.ac.nz

Keywords: *Geothermal power plants, conversion efficiency.*

ABSTRACT

The conversion efficiency of geothermal power developments is generally lower than that of conventional thermal power plants. Confusion can be found in literature concerning the estimation of this conversion efficiency. Geothermal power plants conversion efficiency estimates that is based on the enthalpy of the produced geothermal fluid can be the most desirable for use during the first estimates of power potential of new wells and for resource estimation studies.

The overall conversion efficiency is affected by many parameters including the power plant design (single or double flash, triple flash, dry steam, binary, or hybrid system), size, gas content, parasitic load, ambient conditions, and others.

This work is a worldwide review using published data from 94 geothermal plants (6 dry-steam, 34 single flash, 18 double flash, 31 binary, 2 hybrid steam-binary and 1 triple flash plants) to find conversion efficiencies based on the reservoir enthalpy.

The highest reported conversion efficiency is approximately 21% at the Darajat vapour-dominated system, with a worldwide efficiency average of around 12%. The use of binary plants in low-enthalpy resources has allowed the use of energy from fluid with enthalpy as low as 306 kJ/kg, resulting in a net conversion efficiency of about 1%.

A generic geothermal power conversion relation was developed based on the total produced enthalpy. Three additional, more specific, relationships are presented for single flash / dry steam plants, double flash plants, and binary plants. The conversion efficiency of binary plants has the lowest confidence, mainly because of the use of air cooling, which is highly affected by location and seasonal changes in ambient temperature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Geothermal power development is witnessing a rapid growth worldwide. The short-term forecast indicates an installed capacity of 18,500 MWe by the year 2015. This represents an increase of approximately 73% from that of 2010 [1].



Figure 1: World geothermal power plant installed capacity (data from [1])

The conversion efficiency is of significant importance when calculating the power potential of newly drilled geothermal wells and for resource estimation studies. The conversion efficiency is the ratio of net electric power generated (MW_e) to the geothermal heat produced/extracted from the reservoir (MW_{th}).

Geothermal power plants have lower efficiency relative to other thermal power plants, such as coal, natural gas, oil, and nuclear power stations (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Thermal Power Plant efficiency (data from [2-3] this work*)

It is commonly assumed that only 10% of the energy from the produced geothermal fluid can be converted to electricity [4]. Another study suggests that the power conversion efficiency from geothermal steam ranges from 10 to 17% [5]. However, each geothermal power plant has its own conversion efficiency. For example, Chena Hot Springs [6, 7] binary plant has an efficiency of only 1% due to an average fluid enthalpy of 306 and a temperature of 73℃, while Darajat [8, 9] in Indonesia reaches an efficiency of 20.7%.

For resource estimation, the AGEA (2010) gave preference to using a specified process/technology rather than using an efficiency of conversion based on the energy removed.

This study reviews the efficiencies of geothermal power plants based on the type of plant and the features of the geothermal fluid. The efficiency of a power station is evaluated as follows: net electricity produced/energy input [9]. In geothermal power plants, the energy input can be defined as total mass of fluid (kg/s) multiplied by the average enthalpy (kJ/kg) as shown below:

$$\eta_{act}\,(\%) = \frac{W}{\dot{m} \times h} \times 100 \qquad (1)$$

where W is the running capacity (kWe), $\dot{m}$ is the total mass flow rate (kg/s), and h is the reservoir enthalpy (kJ/kg).

This work provides a high-level assessment of the conversion efficiency of geothermal power plants based on available data from the current worldwide experience.

2. FACTORS AFFECTING EFFICIENCY

When geothermal fluid is extracted from a production well, it passes through many processes and/or different pieces of equipment on its way to the power station. During this time the geothermal fluid loses energy that is not used to produce power.

In liquid dominated systems, the produced two-phase geothermal fluid loses a significant amount of heat when separating steam from water, because only the separated steam is used for generation unless there is another separator or binary plant installed.

For example, the Kizildere [10, 11] single flash plant uses geothermal fluid with an enthalpy of 875 kJ/kg. Therefore, only 36% of the heat from the separator is sent to the turbine. While, for high enthalpy geothermal fluid will have more of the produced heat will be sent to the power station. An example of this is the Nesjavellir plant, which has an enthalpy of 1503 kJ/kg where 66% of heat reaches the turbine, while the plants at Cerro Prieto and Svartsengi have respective enthalpies of 1396 and 1148 kJ/kg receives 68 and 70% respectively.

Double flash and/or bottoming binary plants can use heat more effectively. However, during the design of the separator, the main consideration is the silica (SiO_2) content of the geothermal fluid. During the flash process, a pressure drop is used to generate additional steam from the geothermal fluid. This results in an increase in the silica concentration of the remaining fluid (brine). This silica can build up a layer of solid deposit on the internal surfaces of pipelines, flash plants and turbines, impeding the flow of the fluid and leading to a drop in conversion efficiency and high maintenance cost.

Other factors affecting the conversion efficiency are: Non-condensable gas (NCG) content, heat loss from equipment, turbine and generator efficiency and power plant parasitic load (e.g. fans, pumps, and gas extraction system) [12-17].

The presence of NCG has no major negative impact until the steam reaches the condenser [18]. Geothermal fluid the contains NCG's lowers the power efficiency because it decreases the specific expansion work in the turbine and has adverse effect on the performance of a turbine [19, 20].

Kizildere [16] field's average non-condensable gases percentage is 13% by weight, meaning that the power consumption of the gas extraction system is comparatively high.

An NCG content of 1% by weight reduces the power out by 0.59% in comparison with steam without NCG [21].

$$\eta_{ncg} = 1 - 0.0059C \qquad (2)$$

where C is the NCG content % by weight.

Cooling the steam as it leaves the turbine is necessary in order to raise the power conversion efficiency. Cooling the water for the condenser requires pumps and fans. A dry type cooling tower consumes twice as much electricity [22]. Also some geothermal plants use production pumps as well as reinjection pumps.

Mutnovzky, Kamchatka [23] single flash plant turbine exhaust pressure is only 0.05 bar abs. so the heat used in turbine percentage is notably higher than the plants using similar enthalpy geothermal fluid in warmer environment.

Auxiliary power consumption, which includes all pumps, cooling equipment, and gas extractors in a power plant is subtracted from the gross power output.

$$\eta_{apc} = 1 - \frac{W_{apc}}{W_{gross}} \qquad (3)$$

where W_{gross} is the gross electric power and W_{apc} is the total auxiliary power consumption.

Geothermal fluid also loses heat in pipes, with the size of the losses depending on the pipe insulator, the length of pipe, and the ambient temperature. However, it is possible to consider the heat loss in the pipe as relatively negligible. For example, 80 t/h of steam at 180℃ is travelling in a .4m diameter and 2km long pipe. The pipe is insulated with 8 cm thick layer of fiberglass with an ambient temperature of 20℃. In this case, the inlet steam enthalpy is 2777.1 kJ/kg while the outlet steam enthalpy is 2759.4 kJ/kg. Thus the energy loss is only 0.6% [24].

For the above ambient temperature and pipe, the following equation can be derived.

$$\eta_{pipe} = 1 - 0.003\, L_p \qquad (4)$$

where η_{pipe} is the pipe efficiency based on total geothermal energy and L_p is the pipe length in km.

Once the steam reaches the power station it passes through the turbine that drive the generator. Wahl (1977) showed turbine efficiency vary between 60 and 80% [25]. Dickson and Fanelli (2003) later demonstrated that the isentropic efficiency for a geothermal turbine would typically range between 81 and 85% [26].

The turbine efficiency drops due to deviation from isentropic behaviour and the presence of moisture in the turbine during the steam expansion process. The Baumann rule shows that the presence of 1% average moisture causes a drop of about 1% turbine efficiency. The Baumann rule can be described in the following simple equation [17, 23, 27-29]:

$$\eta_t = \eta_{td} \times \left(a \times \frac{X_{in} + X_{out}}{2}\right) \qquad (5)$$

where η_t is the turbine efficiency, η_{td} is the dry turbine efficiency which is about 0.85 [17], X_{in} is the turbine inlet dryness fraction (equal to 1), and X_{out} is the turbine outlet dryness fraction. The coefficient, a, is an empirical value known as the Baumann factor. Various experiments on different types of turbines reveal a range of values for a that vary from 0.4 to 2; however, a is usually assumed to be equal to 1.

The generators efficiency is relative to the power capacity [31]. Table 1 gives a range of generators efficiency from different manufacturers. From Table 1 it is clear that that outputs from geothermal plants is such that the expected range for the generator efficiency range from 95.7 to 98.7% [32].

Table 1: Typical generator efficiencies

Manufacturer	Model	Power capacity (MVA)	Efficiency (%)
Mitsubishi [33]	S16R-PTAA2	2.2	95.7
Siemens [34]	SGen5-100A-4P	25 to 70	Up to 98.5
Siemens [34]	SGen5-100A-2p	25 to 300	Up to 98.7
GE [35]	W28	550	99

Using a combination of the factors mentioned above, finding the minimum power conversion efficiency can be achieved by using the following formula:

$$\eta = \dot{m}_s \times \Delta h \times \eta_t \times \eta_g \times \eta_{ncg} \times \eta_{apc} \quad (6)$$

where η is the overall conversion efficiency, $\dot{m}_s$ is the steam flow rate in turbine, Δh is the enthalpy difference between turbine inlet an outlet.

3. GEOTHERMAL STEAM PLANT EFFICIENCY

The amount of geothermal energy that can be converted to electricity is limited by the second law of thermodynamics it is also a function of and the optimum plant design and the efficiency of different components. Bodvarsson in 1974 [36], Natheson in 1975 [37] and the AGEA (2010) [38] gave a conversion efficiency based on geothermal fluid temperature only (total heat) Figure 3.



Figure 3: Geothermal plant efficiency as a function of temperature.

However, Figure 3 can only be used for liquid dominated reservoirs, which may not apply to systems with excess enthalpy and high enthalpy vapour dominated reservoirs. In this study, the power conversion efficiency will be based on reservoir enthalpy.

There are three primary types of steam geothermal power plants, namely dry-steam (Figure 4), single flash (Figure 5), and double flash (Figure 6) power plants. However, the dry-steam and single flash power plants are technically very similar (Figures 4 and 5). For this reason dry steam data are presented together with single flash.



PW	Production well	WV	Wellhead valve	PR	Particulate remover
MR	Moisture remover	C	condenser	CP	Condensate pump
CSV	Control and stop valve	SE/C	Steam ejector/condenser	T/G	Turbine and generator
CT	Cooling tower	CWP	Cooling water pump	RP	Reinjection pump
RW	Reinjection well	P	Pump	MW	Make-up water

Figure 4: Simplified schematic of a dry steam plant



PW	Production well	WV	Wellhead valve	S	Silencer
CS	Cyclone separator	BCV	Ball check valve	MR	Moisture remover
CSV	Control / stop valve	T/G	Turbine / generator	SE/C	Steam ejector/condenser
C	condenser	CT	Cooling tower	CWP	Cooling water pump
CP	Condensate pump	RP	Reinjection pump	RW	Reinjection well
P	Pump	MW	Make-up water		

Figure 5: Simplified schematic for a single-flash plant



PW	Production well	WV	Wellhead valve	S	Silencer
HPS	High pressure separator	LPS	Low pressure separator	BCV	Ball check valve
TV	Throttle valve	MR	Moisture remover	C	condenser
CSV	Control / stop valve	T/G	Turbine / generator	SE/C	Steam ejector/condenser
CT	Cooling tower	CWP	Cooling water pump	CP	Condensate pump
RP	Reinjection pump	RW	Reinjection well	P	Pump
MW	Make-up water				

Figure 6: Simplified schematic for a double-flash plant

The power output for a steam turbine is calculated using the follows equation [39]:

$$W_{st} = \eta_t \times \eta_g \times \dot{m}_s \times \Delta h \quad (7)$$

where W_{st} is the steam turbine power output (MWe), η_t is the turbine efficiency, η_g is the generator efficiency, $\dot{m}_s$ is the total mass of steam (kg/s), Δh is the enthalpy difference between inlet and outlet enthalpy (kJ/kg).

Based on available published data for dry-steam and single flash (Table A.1) and double flash (Table A.2).

The average separator pressure is 6.2 bar abs. for single flash plants (Table 2). While the average separators pressures are 6.7 and 2 bar abs respectively for double flash plants (Table 3) shows that:. The average condenser pressure is 0.12 bar abs. This is excluding data from Miravalles and Hichijojima plants, which have back-pressure turbines.

Field (Plant name)	PS 1 (bar abs.)	P_{out} (bar abs.)
Pauzhetka [30]	2.5	0.08
Kizildere [10]	4.8	0.098
Akita (Onuma) [17]	2.45	0.108
Iwate (Kakkonda) [17]	4.5	0.135
Verkhne-Mutnovsky [23]	8	0.12
Mutnovsky [23]	6.2	0.05
Onikobe [17]	4.41	0.107
Ahuahapan [30]	5.58	0.083
Miravalles (Unit 1) [23]	6	0.125
Miravalles (Unit 2) [23]	6	0.1
Miravalles (Unit 3) [23]	5.6	0.09
Miravalles (Well head) [23]	5.9	0.99
Otake [17]	2.5	0.11
Cerro Prieto (CP-1) [23]	6.2	0.119
Svartsengi (Unit 5) [40]	6.5	0.1
Nesjavellir (Unit 1 and 2) [15]	12	0.28
Cerro Prieto (CP-4, Unit1-4) [23]	10.5	0.115
Tokyo (Hachijyojima) [14]	10.7	1.43
Wayang Windu [41]	10.2	0.12
Suginoi [13]	3.9	0.29
Fukushima (Yanaizu-Nishiyama) [42]	3.9	-
Los Humeros [43]	8	-

Table 2: Single flash plant pressure showing separator and turbine exhaust pressure.

Field (Plant name)	PS 1 (bar abs.)	PS2 (bar abs.)	P_{out} (bar abs.)
Nevada (Brady Hot Springs) [44]	4.5	2.3	-
Nevada (Beowawe) [45]	4.21	0.93	0.044
Cerro Prieto (CP-1, Unit 5)[23]	4.15	2.05	0.111
Bouillante 1 [46]	6	1.4	0.096
Cerro prieto (CP-2, CP-3) [23]	10.75	3.16	0.114
Mori [47]	7.8	2.7	-
Kyushu [48]	6.3	1.4	-
Hachobaru 2 [48]	6.8	1.3	-
Banahaw 1,2,3,4 [48]	6.5	1.7	-
Tongonan 1,2,3 [48]	5.8	1.1	-
Ahuahapan [30]	5.48	1.5	0.083
Mindanao 2 [48]	6.8	3.5	-
Krafla 1,2 [48]	7.58	1.9	0.119
Heber [49]	3.8	1.1	0.12
Coso 1 [48]	5.6	1.2	-
Salton Sea 3 [48]	7.9	1.7	-
Geo East Mesa 1,2 [48]	3.0	1.1	-
Hellisheidi [50]	9	2	-
Kawerau [51]	13	2.9	-
Ohaaki [51]	10	4.5	-
Hachobaru 1 [17]	6.37	1.47	0.098

Table 3: Double flash plant pressure showing separators and turbine exhaust pressures.

The single flash power and dry steam data (Table A.1) are applied to equation 1 to calculate the actual (η_{act}) efficiency in comparison with the AGEA (2010) turbine efficiencies as shown in Figure 7. The match with the conversion efficiency from the AGEA (2010) is very close from an enthalpy of about 1400 kJ/kg to 2800 kJ/kg (Figure 7).



Figure 7: The single flash and dry steam efficiency.

Note that: Cerro Prieto (CP-1, Unit 1-4) [23, 52] uses geothermal fluid with an enthalpy of 1396 kJ/kg and shows an abnormally high efficiency of 26%, which is much higher than that of dry steam plants (15.1 to 17.5%). Conversely, Lihir [6], Los Humeros [43, 53], and Hachijojima [14] plants use fluid with enthalpies of over 2030kJ/kg geothermal fluid, yet are shown to have oddly low efficiencies.

Hichijojima [14] geothermal fluid contains a high content of H_2S gas, which is non-condensable. The geothermal fluid is separated at 10.7 bar abs. The separated steam is sent to the steam scrubbing system in the plant at 10.7 bar abs. to trap the mist and improve steam quality. When the separated steam enters the turbine, its pressure is only 7.9 bar abs. and its outlet pressure is 1.43 bar abs. The outlet pressure is much higher than that of other geothermal power plants. It is probable that H_2S gas, which accumulates in the condenser, decreases heat transfer and raises the turbine outlet pressure, thereby lowering turbine performance and power plant efficiency.

Los Humeros [43, 53] has back-pressure units which operate with an exhaust equal to or in excess of atmospheric pressure. On the other hand, the condensing turbine exhaust pressure is lower than atmospheric pressure.The low efficiency at Lihir is due to a back-pressure turbine [6]

Alternatively, Uenotai [54] geothermal power plant, which uses geothermal fluid with a similar enthalpy to Lihir [6], is found to have an efficiency that is also slightly lower than the actual efficiency curve in Figure 8.

Soon after beginning operation at the Uenotai plant, the pressure at the main steam governing valve was found to increase rapidly when operating at the rated output, while the generator output decreased. It was found that evaporation and flashing had led to an increase in the silica content of the geothermal fluid. This precipitated and resulted in a build-up of silica-rich scaling and a corresponding decrease in the plant efficiency.

Data from the following plants are excluded from the fitting: Lihir [6]; Los Humeros [43, 53]; Hachijojima [14]; and Cerro Prieto(CP-1, Unit1-4) [23, 52], because of the discrepancies described in the previous above.

The single flash and dry steam efficiencies can be fitted with one simple model given below.

$$\eta_{act} = 8.7007\ln(h) - 52.335 \quad (8)$$

Similarly, the double flash power plant data from Table A.2 were also applied to equation 1 and shown in Figure 8.

The double-flash steam plant shown in Figure 8 is an improvement on the single-flash design. It produces 15-20% more power output for the same geothermal fluid [23].

Cerro Prieto (CP-2) and (CP-3) [23, 52], using geothermal fluid of enthalpies 1442 and 1519 kJ/kg respectively, have efficiencies of only 7.4% and 9.5% for actual efficiency, which are lower than the single flash actual efficiencies (10.9% and 11.4%). Therefore the results shown in Figure 8, excludes the data from Cerro Prieto (CP-2) and (CP-3) [23, 52].



Figure 8: The double flash actual efficiency

The efficiency of the double flash system is given in equation 9 using a simple best fit to the data in Figure 8.

$$\eta_{act} = 10.166\ln(h) - 61.68 \quad (9)$$

4. EFFICIENCY OF BINARY PLANTS

Binary geothermal power plants are closed cycles that converts heat from the geothermal fluid into electricity by transferring the heat to an organic working fluid, and then produces vapour to generate electricity [23, 55, 56]. Figure 9 shows a simple binary system commonly used.



PW	Production well	**P**	Pump	**SR**	Sand remover
E	Evaporator	**PH**	Pre-heater	**C**	Condenser
CP	Condensate pump	**RP**	Reinjection pump	**FF**	Final filter
CSV	Control / stop valve	**T/G**	Turbine / generator	**CWP**	Cooling water pump
CT	Cooling tower	**RW**	Reinjection well	**MW**	Make-up water

Figure 9: Simplified schematic of a basic binary geothermal power plant

Carnot and Triangular are ideal closed power cycles of thermal efficiency. These ideal processes are reversible heat transfers and so no temperature difference between the heat source and the working fluid occurs along this process [57].

It is easy to show that the efficiencies of the ideal Carnot cycle [58], η_c, (equation 10) and the ideal Triangular cycle [59], η_{tri}, (equation 11) are given in terms of the heat source of T_{in} and T_{out}.

$$\eta_c = 1 - \frac{T_{out}}{T_{in}} = \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in}} \quad (10)$$

$$\eta_{tri} = \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in} + T_{out}} \quad (11)$$

A simple comparison between the two ideal efficiencies (Carnot and Triangular) for two different sink temperatures is given in Figure 10 below.



Figure 10: Carnot and Triangular ideal efficiency.

Dickson and Fanelli (2003) defined the net electric power generation based on operating temperature and the power produced. The thermal power from the geothermal fluid is conventionally calculated relative to a temperature of 10℃ higher than the bottom-cycle temperature. The bottom-cycle temperature is normally assumed to be 40℃ [26].

$$W = \frac{(0.18T_{in} - 10)ATP}{278} \quad (12)$$

where W is the net electric power generated (kWe), T_{in} is the inlet temperature of the primary fluid (℃), and ATP is the available thermal power (kW).

The basis of the net electric power generated by DiPippo proposed (2007) with reference to the Triangular cycle efficiency. DiPippo (2007) suggests that when applying equation 13 to a case where the inlet temperature is between 100 and 140℃, the resulting relative efficiency will be roughly 58±4% that of equation 11 [57].

$$W = 2.47\dot{m}\left(\frac{T_{in} - T_0}{T_{in} + T_0}\right)(T_{in} - T_{out}) \quad (13)$$

where the average brine is at 120℃, a specific heat of 4.25 kJ/kg/°K has been assumed, W is the net electric power (kWe), $\dot{m}$ is the total mass (kg/s), T_{in} is the inlet temperature of the primary fluid (℃), T_0 is the dead-state temperature (20℃) and T_{out} is the outlet temperature (℃).

However, the inlet temperature range in Table A.3 is between 73 and 253℃. The case of average brine at 160℃ with a specific heat of 4.34 kJ/kg/°K needs to be applied to equation 13.

$$W = 2.51\left(\frac{T_{in} - T_0}{T_{in} + T_0}\right)(T_{in} - T_{out}) \qquad (14)$$

Only eight geothermal field outlet temperatures can be found in published literature Table A.3. The estimate of the power that might be obtained is based on the assumption that the entire geothermal fluid mass is provided to binary plants. In order to find the net electric power (kWe) totals for the outlet temperature, the following equation [60] can be used :

$$T_{out} = T_{in} + \frac{W}{0.098701 - 0.0039645T_{in}} \qquad (15)$$

where T_{out} is the outlet temperature (℃),W is the net electric power generated (kWe) for a total mass flow rate of one kg/s, and T_{in} is the inlet temperature of the primary fluid (℃).

Equation 15 was used to calculate the outlet temperatures for 22 geothermal field from Table A.3.

The Berlin (U4) [61] plant uses brine from two separators. The maximum geothermal fluid has 1080 t/h at 185℃. Los Azufres (U-11,12) [62] plant receives the first 280 t/h at 180 ℃ then toward the injection system, Blundell 2 [63] plant also geothermal brine at 177℃ from Blundell 1 separators and the Momotombo (Unit3) [64] uses the lowest temperature heat source at 155℃ before being injected.

Ngawha [65], Hatchobaru [23], Te Huka [51] and Ribeira Grade [6] binary plants use two phase geothermal fluid. This means that the heat sources of these binary plants are much higher than those located between 228℃ and 253℃ shown in Figure 13.

Hatchobaru binary plant is designed to use a sub-par well that cannot be connected to the main gathering system. Two phase geothermal fluid is separated at the wellhead. The separated steam and water are used for evaporating and pre-heating working fluid respectively [23].

Two net power conversion equations, 12 and 14, and the actual conversion efficiency (formulated based on data from Table A.3) are shown in Figures 11 and 12.



Figure 11: The binary efficiency function of temperature.



Figure 12: The binary efficiency based on enthalpy.

For an inlet temperature in ℃ of the primary fluid:

$$\eta_{act} = 6.9681\ln(T_{in}) - 29.713 \qquad (16)$$

For an inlet average enthalpy in kJ/kg of the primary fluid:

$$\eta_{act} = 6.6869\ln(h) - 37.929 \qquad (17)$$

Figures 11 and 12 show that the reported fit to the data from Table A.3 is more representative than those by given Dickson and Fanelli (2003) and DiPippo (2007).

Binary plants utilizing the exhaust steam from the back-pressure turbine and/or utilizing separated brine are known as hybrid steam-binary systems (Figure 13). However, data from two such systems only are publically available (Table A.4).



PW	Production well	**WV**	Wellhead valve	**S**	Silencer
CS	Cyclone separator	**BCV**	Ball check valve	**MR**	Moisture remover
CSV	Control / stop valve	**T/G**	Turbine / generator	**C**	Condenser
CP	Condensate pump	**E**	Evaporator	**PH**	Pre-heater
RP	Reinjection pump	**RW**	Reinjection well		

Figure 13: Simplified schematic of a hybrid steam-binary geothermal power plant.

5. SUMMARY

Fitting all the available data (Tables A.1-A.5) with one curve (Figure 14) produces a generic model for the conversion efficiency as a function of enthalpy:

$$\eta_{act} = 7.8795\ln(h) - 45.651 \qquad (18)$$



Figure 14: Geothermal power plant generic efficiency

The data in Figure 14 also gives an average conversion efficiency of 12%.

Summary of the conversion efficiencies for binary, single flash-dry steam and double flash is given in Figure 15. Figure 15 clearly show that double flash plants has higher conversion efficiency than single flash, but can have lower efficiency than binary plants for the low enthalpy range (750-850 kJ/kg).



Figure 15: Geothermal power plant efficiency summary.

Figure 16 show the range of operating enthalpy for the different types of geothermal plants. Note that hybrid (steam-binary) and triple flash plants are not included as there not many reported around the world. Figure 16 shows that Single flash plants operate at a wider range of enthalpy (from ~800-2800 kJ/kg), while double flash operate at smaller range (from ~750-1900 kJ/kg). This is because as enthalpy increases the reservoir will dry up and there will be less produced water to justify a second flash. At the same time, the wellhead pressure will significantly reduce, not permitting a second flash.

Binary plants can generate electricity from water as low as 73 ºC (306 kJ/kg) to up to 1100 kJ/kg (Figure 16). However, generation from higher enthalpy fluid is also possible, while for an enthalpy higher than ~1900 kJ/kg only single flash/dry steam plants is recommended.



Figure 16: Geothermal power plant operating enthalpy range based on current published data.

Summary of the proposed models are given Table 4

Table 4: Summery geothermal power plant efficiency

Type of power plant	Conversion efficiency	R^2
General geothermal plant	7.8795ln(h) – 45.651	0.76
Single flash and dry steam plant	8.7007ln(h) - 52.335	0.78
Double flash plant	10.166ln(h) – 61.680	0.856
Binary plant	6.6869ln(h) - 37.930	0.672

It is clear that Binary plants have a higher error margin than the other type of plants (Table 4) as most binary units uses air cooling to reject heat which is strongly affected by the weather and ambient condition, which vary from location to location also throughout the year.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Several factors affect the conversion efficiency of geothermal power plants including; system design, NCG content, heat loss from equipment, turbines and generators efficiencies, parasitic load, weather and others.

Based on (total produced heat) data from 94 geothermal power plants from around the world:

The average conversion efficiency of geothermal plants is 12%, which is lower that for all conversional thermal power plants.

Conversion efficiency ranges from 1% for some binary systems to as high as 21 % for some dry steam plants.

Conversion efficiency as a function of the reservoir enthalpy are given for; single flash/dry steam, double flash, binary plants, and a generic geothermal power plant.

The proposed correlations are relatively conservative, but give more realistic estimates compared with correlations that are function of temperature. It should be of use for resource estimation studies and for calculating the power potential of new production wells.

7. REFERENCES

[1] Bertani R., "Geothermal power generation in the world 2005–2010 update report," in *World Goethermal Congress 2010*, Bali,

[2] Roth E. (2004). *Why thermal power plants have a relatively low efficiency*.

[3] Taylor P., Lavagne d'ortigue O., Trudeau N., and Francoeur M., "Energy Efficiency indicators for Public Electricity Production from fossil fuels," 2008.

[4] IEA, *Electricity Information 2007*: OECD Publishing (International Energy Agency), 2007.

[5] Barbier E., "Geothermal energy technology and current status: an overview," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 6, pp. 3-65, 2002.

[6] Holdmann G. and List K., "The Chena Hot Springs 400kW geothermal power plant: experience gained during the first year of operation," *Geothermal Resources Council Transactions,* vol. 31, 2007.
[7] Aneke M., Agnew B., and Underwood C., "Performance analysis of the Chena binary geothermal power plant," *Applied Thermal Engineering,* vol. 31, pp. 1825-1832, 2011.
[8] Kaya E., Zarrouk S. J., and O'Sullivan M. J., "Reinjection in geothermal fields: A review of worldwide experience," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,* vol. 15, pp. 47-68, 2010.
[9] Ibrahim R., Fauzi A., and Suryadarma, "The progress of geothermal energy resources activities in Indonesia," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005, pp. 1-7.
[10] Ar, G. "Denizli-Kizildere geothermal power-plant, Turkey," *Geothermics,* vol. 2-3, pp. 429-433, 1985.
[11] Simsek S., Yildirim N., and Gülgör A., "Developmental and environmental effects of the Kizildere geothermal power project, Turkey," *Geothermics,* vol. 34, pp. 234-251, 2005.
[12] Barnett P., "Cost of Geothermal Power in NZ," presented at the AUGI Workshop, 2007.
[13] Kudo K., "3,000 kW Suginoi Hotel geothermal power plant," *Geo-Heat Center Quarterly Bulletin,* vol. 17, 1996.
[14] Murakami T., "Hachijo-jima Geothermal Power Plant," *Fuji Electr Rev,* vol. 47, pp. 113-119, 2001.
[15] Ballzus C., Frimannson H., Gunnarsson G. I., and Hrolfsson I., "The geothermal power plant at Nesjavellir, Iceland," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000.
[16] Gunerhan G. G. and Coury G., "Upstream Reboiler Design and Testing for Removal of Noncondensable Gases from Geothermal Steam at Kizildere Geothermal Power Plant, Turkey," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000.
[17] DiPippo R. and Energy U. S. D. o. E. D. o. G., *Geothermal Power Plants of Japan: A Technical Survey of Existing and Planned Installations*: US Department of Energy, Geothermal Energy, 1978.
[18] Millachine M. A. T., "Guidelines for Optimum Gas Extraction System Selection," M.Sc degree in Mechnicla Engineering, Faculty of Industrial Engineering, University of Iceland, 2011.
[19] Khalifa H. E. and Michaelides E., "Effect of non condensable gases on the performance of geothermal steam power systems," Brown Univ., Providence, RI (USA). Dept. of Engineering1978.
[20] Vorum M. and Fitzler E., "Comparative analysis of alternative means for removing noncondensable gases from flashed-steam geothermal power plants," National Renewable Energy Lab., Golden, CO (US)2000.
[21] Hall N., "Gas extraction systems," *Geothermal Utilisation Engineering, Dunstall, MG (Ed.), Geothermal Institute, The University of Auckland,* 1996.
[22] Mendrinos D., Kontoleontos E., and Karytsas C., "Geothermal Binary Plants: Water or Air Cooled," 2006.
[23] DiPippo R., *Geothermal power plants: principles, applications, case studies and environmental impact*: Elsevier, 2008.
[24] Zarrouk S. J., "Geothermal Energy Technology," in *Lecture*, ed. University of Auckland, 2011.
[25] Wahl E. F., "Geothermal energy utilization," 1977.
[26] Dickson M. H., Fanelli M., and Unesco, *Geothermal energy: utilization and technology*: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 2003.
[27] Baumann K., "Some recent developments in large steam turbine practice," *Electrical Engineers, Journal of the Institution of,* vol. 59, pp. 565-623, 1921.
[28] Leyzerovich A. S., "Wet-Steam Turbines for Nuclear Power Plants," ed: PennWell, pp. 67-68.
[29] Nugroho A. J., "Optimization of Electrical Power Production from High Temperature Geothermal Fields with respect to Silica Scaling Problems," University of Iceland, 2011.
[30] Winarta G. N., "A study of comparison of the geothermal steam turbines," Geothermal Institute, University of Auckland1982.
[31] Storey N., *Electrical and Electronic Systems* vol. 588: Pearson Education LTP, 2004.
[32] Lund J. W., Gawell K., Boyd T. L., and Jennejohn D., "The United States of America Country Update 2010," in *Thirty-Fifth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 2010, pp. 817-830.
[33] *T2200 STANDARD FEATURES*. Available: http://www.dieselgeneratorsmiami.com/recursos_tecnicos/2200KVA%20DIESEL%20GENERATOR%20DATASHEET%20T2200K%20(ENGLISH).PDF
[34] *Siemens Generators*. Available: http://www.energy.siemens.com/hq/en/power-generation/generators/sgen-100a-4pseries.htm#content=Technical%20Data
[35] *W28 Generator*. Available: http://media.ge-flexibility.com/downloads/GEA18793+W28_r6.pdf
[36] Bodvarsson G., "Geothermal resource energetics," *Geothermics*, vol. 3, pp. 83-92, 1974.
[37] Nathenson M., "Physical factors determining the fraction of stored energy recoverable from hydrothermal convection systems and conduction-dominated areas," Geological Survey, Menlo Park, Calif.(USA)1975.
[38] Lawless J., "Geothermal Lexicon For Resources and Reserves Definition and Reporting," 2010.
[39] Çengel Y. A., Turner R. H., and Cimbala J. M., *Fundamentals of thermal-fluid sciences*: McGraw, 2008.
[40] Yamaguchi N., "Variety of Steam Turbines in Svartsengi and Reykjanes Geothermal Power Plants," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.
[41] Murakami H., "Wayang Windu Geothermal Power Plant," *Fuji electric's geothermal power plants,* p. 102, 2001.
[42] Mitsubishi-material. *Sumikawa Geothermal Power Plant*.
[43] Kruger P., Lam S., Molinar R., and Aragon A., "Heat sweep analysis of thermal breakthrough at Los Humeros and La Primavera fields, Mexico," in *Twelfth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 1987, p. 97.
[44] Ettinger T. and Brugman J., "Brady Hot Springs geothermal power plant," in *Fourteenth New Zealand Geothermal Workshop 1992*, 1992, p. 89.
[45] DiPippo R., "Small geothermal power plants: design, performance and economics," *GHC Bulletin, June,* p. 1, 1999.
[46] Beutin P. and Laplaige P. (2006). *Successful example of geothermal energy development in Volcanic Caribbean Islands*.
[47] Hanano M., Kajiwara T., Hishi Y., Arai F., Asanuma M., Sato K., and Takanohashi M., "Overview of Production at the Mori Geothermal Field, Japan," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005, pp. 24-29.
[48] Dagdas A., "Performance analysis and optimization of double-flash geothermal power plants," *Journal of Energy Resources Technology,* vol. 129, p. 125, 2007.
[49] Hibara Y., LIkegami M., and Saito S., "The Heber double flash geothermal power plant," ed, 1986.
[50] Sigfusson B. and Gunnarsson I., "Scaling prevention experiments in the Hellisheidi power plant, Iceland," presented at the Thirty-Sixth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, California, 2011.
[51] NZGA. *New Zealand Geothermal Fields*.
[52] Ocampo1-Díaz J. D. D., Valdez-Salaz B., Shorr M., Sauceda1-M I., and Rosas-González N., "Review of Corrosion and Scaling Problems in Cerro Prieto Geothermal Field over 31 Years of Commercial Operations," presented at the World Goethermal Congress 2005, Antalya, 2005.
[53] Quijano-León J. L. and Gutiérrez-Negrín L. C. A., "Geothermal production and development plans in Mexico," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000, pp. 355-361.
[54] Takayama K., Komiyama N., Takahashi Y., and Shakunaga N., "Silica scale abatement system on the Uenotal geothermal steam turbine," presented at the World Geothermal Congress 2000, Kyushu, 2000.
[55] Bliem C. J. and Mines G. L., "Advanced binary geothermal power plants: Limits of performance," 1991.
[56] Saleh B., Koglbauer G., Wendland M., and Fischer J., "Working fluids for low-temperature organic Rankine cycles," *Energy,* vol. 32, pp. 1210-1221, 2007.
[57] DiPippo R., "Ideal thermal efficiency for geothermal binary plants," *Geothermics,* vol. 36, pp. 276-285, 2007.
[58] Moran M. J. and Shapiro H. N., "Fundamentals of Engineering Thermodynamics," 2006.
[59] DiPippo R., "The effect of ambient temperature on geothermal binary-plant performance.," *Geotherm. Hot Line 19,* vol. 68-69, 1989.
[60] Tester J. W., Anderson B., Batchelor A., Blackwell D., DiPippo R., Drake E., Garnish J., Livesay B., Moore M., and

Nichols K., "The future of geothermal energy: impact of Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) on the United States in the 21st Century," *Final Report to the US Department of Energy Geothermal Technologies Program. Cambridge, MA.: Massachusetts Institute of Technology*, 2006.

[61] Enex. *Berlin, El Salvador (LAGEO)*.

[62] Torres-Rodríguez M. A., Mendoza-Covarrubias A., and Medina-Martínez M., "An update of the Los Azufres geothermal field, after 21 years of exploitation," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[63] Larsen G. and Saunders M., "Blundell Geothermal power plant," ed, 2008.

[64] Enrique A. and Porras M., "The Momotombo reservoir performance upon 23 years of exploitation and its future potential," Salvador, 2006.

[65] Council N. R., "Northland regional council: state of the Environment Report 2002," 2002.

[66] Maria R. B. S. and Villadolid-Abrigo M. F., "Development Strategy for the Bulalo Geothermal Field, Philippines," presented at the World Geothermal Congress, 1995.

[67] Villadolid L., "The application of natural tracers in geothermal development: the Bulalo, Philippines experience," in *13th New Zealand Geothermal Workshop 1991*, 1991, pp. 69-74.

[68] Povarov K. O. and Svalova V. B., "Geothermal Development in Russia: Country Update Report 2005-2009," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[69] Sugino H. and Akeno T., "2010 Country Update for Japan," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[70] Arihara N., Yoshida H., Hanano M., and Ikeuchi K., "A simulation study on hydrothermal system of the Kakkonda geothermal field," 1995, p. 31.

[71] Hanano M. and Takanohashi M., "Review of recent development of the Kakkonda deep reservoir, Japan," in *Eighteenth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 1993, pp. 29-34.

[72] Akasaka C., Nakanishi S., Todaka N., and Tezuka S., "Twenty-six years of sustained operations at the Onikobe geothermal power plant, Japan," *Transactions-geothermal resources council*, pp. 719-724, 2001.

[73] Faybishenko B., *Dynamics of fluids and transport in fractured rock*: Amer Geophysical Union, 2005.

[74] Mainieri A., "Costa Rica Country Update Report," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[75] Moya P., Nietzen F., Castro S., and Taylor W., "Behavior of the geothermal reservoir at the Miravalles geothermal field during 1994-2010," presented at the Thirty-Sixth Workshop in Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, California, 2011.

[76] Boissier F., Desplan A., and Laplaige P., "France Country Update," in *World World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[77] Sanjuan B., Jousset P., Pajot G., Debeglia N., De Michele M., Brach M., Dupont F., Braibant G., Lasne E., and Duré F., "Monitoring of the Bouillante Geothermal Exploitation (Guadeloupe, French West Indies) and the Impact on Its Immediate Environment," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[78] Herrera R., Montalvo F., and Herrera A., "El Salvador Country Update," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[79] Rodríguez J. A., Monterrosa M., and De CV L. G. S. A., "Phased development at Ahuachapán and Berlín geothermal fields," *Lectures on geothermal in Central America*, 2007.

[80] Yani A., *Numerical modelling of Lahendong geothermal system, Indonesia*: United Nations University, 2006.

[81] Soeparjadi R., Horton G., and Wendt B., "A review of the Gunung Salak geothermal expansion project," in *Twenty NZ Geothermal Workshop 1998*, 1998, pp. 153-158.

[82] Williamson K. H., Gunderson R. P., Hamblin G. M., Gallup D. L., and Kitz K., "Geothermal power technology," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 89, pp. 1783-1792, 2001.

[83] Trazona R. G., Sambrano B. M. G., Esberto M. B., and City M., "Reservoir Management in Mindanao Geothermal Production Field, Philippines," in *Twenty-Seventh Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 2002, pp. 28-30.

[84] Alincastre R. S., Sambrano B. M. G., and Nogara J. B., "Geochemical evaluation of the reservoir response to exploitation of the Mindanao-1 geothermal production field, Philippines," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000.

[85] Gutiérrez-Negrín L. C. A., Maya-González R., and Quijano-León J. L., "Current status of geothermics in Mexico," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[86] Santoyo E., García A., Espinosa G., Gonzalez-Partida E., and Viggiano J. C., "Thermal evolution study of the LV-3 well in the tres virgenes geothermal field, Mexico," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000, pp. 2177-2182.

[87] Mayorga A. Z., "Nicaragua country update," in *World Geohermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005, pp. 24–29.

[88] Flores-Armenta M., Leon J. L. Q., Palma J., and Cuevas H., "Numerical Simulation Results of the Amatitlan, Guatemala, Geothermal Field," *Transactions-geothermal resources council*, pp. 95-100, 2002.

[89] Manzo A. R. R., "Geothermal Power Development in Guatemala 2000-2005," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[90] Puente H. G. and G L. H., "H2S Monitoring and Emission Control at the Cerro Prieto Geothermal Field, Mexico," in *world Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[91] Thorolfsson G., "Maintenance history of a geothermal plant: Svartsengi Iceland," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[92] Jordan O. T., Borromeo C. M. R., Reyes R. L., and Ferrolino S. R., "A technical and cost assessment of silica deposition in the Palinpinon-1 geothermal field, Field, Philippines, over 16 years of production and reinjection," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000.

[93] Salonga N. D., Dacillo D. B., and Siega F. L., "Providing solutions to the rapid changes induced by stressed production in Mahanagdong geothermal field, Philippines," *Geothermics*, vol. 33, pp. 181-212, 2004.

[94] Shibuya K. and Morikawa M., "Outline of Mahanagdong geothermal power project," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000.

[95] Ariki K., Kato H., Ueda A., and Bamba M., "Characteristics and management of the Sumikawa geothermal reservoir, northeastern Japan," *Geothermics*, vol. 29, pp. 171-189, 2000.

[96] Kumagai N. and Kitao K., "Reinjection problems encountered in Sumikawa Geothermal power plant, Japan," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyush-Tohoku, 2000.

[97] Ragnarsson Á., "Geothermal Development in Iceland 2000-2004," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[98] Arellano V., Barragán R., Aragón A., Izquierdo G., Portugal E., Rodríguez M., and Pérez A., "Reservoir characteristics and exploitation-related processes at the CP IV Sector of the Cerro Prieto (México) geothermal field," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[99] Relativo-Fajardo V. L., Gerona P. P., and Padua D. O., "Reservoir response and behavior to five years of exploration of the bacon-manito geothermal production field, Albay, Philippines " in *Twenty-Fourth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 1999.

[100] Relativo-Fajardo V. L., "Lumped parameter model of the Bacon-Manito geothermal production field Albay, Philippines," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000.

[101] Jaimes-Maldonado J. G., Velasco R. A. S., Pham M., and Henneberger R., "Update Report and Expansion strategy for Los Azufres Geothermal Field," presented at the World Geothermal Congress 2005, Antalya, 2005.

[102] Ofwona C. O., "Olkaria 1 reservoir response to 28 years of production," presented at the World Geothermal Congress 2010, Bali, 2010.

[103] Kwambai C. B., "Energy analysis of Olkaria I power plant, Kenya," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[104] Maluegha B. L., "Calculation of gross electrical power from the production wells in Lahendong geothermal field in North Sulawesi, Indonesia," Master of Science, Murdoch University 2010.

[105] Darma S., Harsoprayitno S., Setiawan B., Hadyanto R. S., and Soedibjo A., "Geothermal Energy update: geothermal energy development and utilization in Indonesia," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[106] Melaku M., "Geothermal Development at Lihir–An Overview," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[107] Melaku M. and Mendive D., "Geothermal Development in Papua New Guinea–a Country Update Report 2005-2009," 2010.
[108] Butler S. J., Sanyal S. K., Klein C. W., Iwata S., and Itoh M., "Numerical simulation and performance evaluation of the Uenotai geothermal field, Akita Prefecture, Japan," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.
[109] Sanyal S. K. and Enedy S. L., "Fifty years of power generation at the geysers geothermal field, California-the lessons learned," presented at the Thirty-Sixth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, California, 2011.
[110] Beall J. J. and Wright M. C., "Southern Eextent of The Geysers High Temperature Reservoir Based on Seismic and Geochemical Evidence," 2010.
[111] Goyal K. P. and Conant T. T., "Performance history of The Geysers steam field, California, USA," *Geothermics,* vol. 39, pp. 321-328, 2010.
[112] Harvey C. C., White B. R., Lawless J. V., and Dunstall M. G., "2005–2010 New Zealand Country Update," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.
[113] Zarrouk S., O'Sullivan M., Croucher A., and Mannington W., "Numerical modelling of production from the Poihipi dry steam zone: Wairakei geothermal system, New Zealand," *Geothermics,* vol. 36, pp. 289-303, 2007.
[114] Cappetti G. and Ceppatelli L., "Geothermal Power Generation in Italy: 2000-2004 Update Report," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005, pp. 24-29.
[115] Bertani R., Bertini G., Cappetti G., Fiordelisi A., and Marocco B. M., "An update of the Larderello-Travale/Radicondoli deep geothermal system," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005, pp. 24-29.
[116] Lund J. W., Bloomquist R. G., Boyd T. L., and Renner J., "The United States of America Country Update," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005, pp. 24-29.
[117] Campbell D., Schochet D. N., and Krieger Z., "Upgrading Ormesa," 2004.
[118] Canchola Felix I., "Rehabilitation and Modernization Project for the Unit 5 of Cerro Prieto Geothermoelectric Power Plant," presented at the World Geothermal Congress 2005, Antalya, 2005.
[119] Faulds J., Moeck I., Drakos P., and Zemach E., "Structural assessment and 3D geological modeling of the Brady's geothermal area, Churchill county (Nevada, USA): A preliminary report," 2010.
[120] Gawlik K. and Kutscher C., "Investigation of the opportunity for small-scale geothermal power plants in the Western United States," *Transactions-geothermal resources council,* pp. 109-112, 2000.
[121] Butler S. J., Sanyal S. K., Robertson-Tait A., Lovekin J. W., and Benoit D., "A case history of numerical modeling of a fault-controlled geothermal system at Beowawe, Nevada," in *Twenty-Sixth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering* California, 2001, pp. 29-31.
[122] Tokita H., Lima E., and Hashimoto K., "A middle-term power output prediction at the Hatchobaru field by coupling multifeed wellbore simulator and fluid-gathering pipeline simulator to reservoir simulator," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.
[123] Kasai K., Sato K., Kimura S., Shakunaga N., and Obara K., "Characterization of smectite scale and scale inhibition test by pH control at the Mori geothermal Power Plant, Japan," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000, pp. 1331-1336.
[124] Gunnarsson G. I., Sigfusson B., Stefansson A., Arnorsson S., Scott S. W., and Gunnlaugsson E., "Injection of h2s from Hellisheidi power plant, Iceland," in *Thirty-sixth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 2011.
[125] Kijartansson G., "Low Pressure Flash-Steam Cycle at Hellisheidi – Selection Based on Comparison Study of Power Cycles, Utilizing Geothermal Brine," in *World Geothermal 2010*, Bali, 2010.
[126] Funaba H., Muto T., and Stabler I., "Features of Kawerau Geothermal Power Control System," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.
[127] Horie T., Muto T., and Gray T., "Technical Features of Kawerau Geothermal Power Station, New Zealand," in *World Geothermal Congress*, Bail, 2010.
[128] Bayon F. E. B. and Ogena M. S., "Handling the Problem of Rapid Reinjection Rreturns in Palinpinon-I and Tongonan, Philippines," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005, p. 1000.
[129] Dacillo D. B., Colo M. H. B., Andrino Jr R. P., Alcober E. H., Ana F. X. M. S., and Malate R. C. M., "Tongonan Geothermal Field: Conquering the Challenges of 25 Years of Production," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.
[130] Júlíusson B. M., Palsson B., and Gunnarsson A., "Krafla Power Plant in Iceland-27 Years of Operation," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.
[131] Nogara J. B., Alincastre R. S., and Dulce R. G., "Long term use of production wells with acidic discharge at mindanao-2 power station, Mindanao geothermal production field (MGPF), Philippines," in *Twenty-Seventh Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 2002.
[132] Johnson L. A., PE, and Walker E. D., "Oil production waste stream, a source of electrical power," presented at the Thirty-Fifth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, California, 2010.
[133] Milliken M., "Geothermal Resources at Naval Petroleum Reserve-3 (NPR-3), Wyoming," in *Thirty-Second Workshop on Reservoir Engineering*, California, 2007.
[134] Schellschmidt R., Sanner B., Pester S., and Schulz R., "Geothermal energy use in Germany," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010, pp. 24-29.
[135] Knapek E. and Kittl G., "Unterhaching power plant and overall system," in *European Geothermal Congress 2007,* Unterhaching, 2007.
[136] Sapp C., "Bio Fuels from Geothermal," Oregon Institute of Technology2007.
[137] Lund J. W. and Chiasson A., "Examples of combined heat and power plants using geothermal energy," in *European Geothermal Congress 2007*, Unterhaching, 2007.
[138] Pernecker G. and Uhlig S., "Low-enthalpy power generation with ORC-turbogenerator-The Akltheim project Upper Austria," *GHG Bulletin,* pp. 26-30, 2002.
[139] Goldbrunner J., "Austria–country update," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bail, 2010.
[140] Hilel L., "The Bad Blumau geothermal project: a low temperature, sustainable and environmentally benign power plant," *Geothermics,* vol. 32, pp. 497-503, 2003.
[141] Forsha M. D. and Nichols K. E., "Power plants for rural electrification," *Renewable Energy,* vol. 10, pp. 409-416, 1997.
[142] Liu Z., Sun B., and Liu X., "Chemical dosing system at Nagqu geothermal,power plant (Tibet)," in *21st New Zealand geothermal Workshop.*
[143] Korjedee T., "Geothermal exploration and development in Thailand," ed, 2002.
[144] BroBmann E. and Koch M., "First Experiences with the Geothermal Power plant in Neustad-Glewe (Germany)," presented at the World Geothermal Congress 2005, Antalya, 2005.
[145] Sonnelitter P., Krieger Z., and Schochet D., "The Ormesa power plants at the East Mesa California resource after 12 years of operation," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000, pp. 535-538.
[146] Ayling B., Molling P., Nye R., and Moore J., "Fluid geothermal at the raft river goethermal field, Idaho: new data and hydrogeological implications," presented at the Thirty-Sixth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, California, 2011.
[147] Jones C., Moore J., Teplow W., and Craig S., "Geology and hydrothermal alteration of the Raft river goethermal system, Idaho," in *Thirty-Sixth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 2011.
[148] Wenke A., Kreuter H., Gall W., Gutekunst S., Rohrer L., and Zuhlke R., "First steps in the Development of a New Geothermal Field in the Northern Part of the Upper Rhine Graben, Germany," presented at the World Geothermal Cogress 2010, Bali, 2010.
[149] Genter A., Goerke X., Graff J. J., Cuenot N., Krall G., Schindler M., and Ravier G., "Current Status of the EGS Soultz Geothermal Project (France)," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010, pp. 1-6.
[150] Genter A., Evans K., Cuenot N., Fritsch D., and Sanjuan B., "Contribution of the exploration of deep crystalline fractured

reservoir of Soultz to the knowledge of enhanced geothermal systems (EGS)," *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, vol. 342, pp. 502-516, 2010.

[151] Goranson C. and Combs J., "Using slim holes for long-term monitoring of geothermal reservoir performance at steamboat springs, Nevada, U.S.A.," presented at the World Geothermal Congress 2000, Kyushu, 2000.

[152] Buchanan T., Posten W., and Berryman S., "Repowering Steamboat 2 and 3 Plants with New Axial Flow Turbines," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[153] Sones R. and Krieger Z., "Case history of the binary power plant development at the Heber, California geothermal resource," in *World Geothermal Congress 2000*, Kyushu, 2000, pp. 2217-2219.

[154] Gurbuz C., Serpen U., Ongur T., Aksoy N., and Dincer C., "Tracing reinjected water by seismic monitoring," presented at the Thirty-Sixth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, California, 2011.

[155] Serpen U. and Aksoy N., "Reinjection experience in Salavatli-Sultanhisar geothermal Field of Turkey," in *29th NZ Geothermal Workshop 2007*, 2007.

[156] Pribnow D. F. C., Schütze C., Hurter S. J., Flechsig C., and Sass J. H., "Fluid flow in the resurgent dome of Long Valley Caldera: implications from thermal data and deep electrical sounding," *Journal of volcanology and geothermal research*, vol. 127, pp. 329-345, 2003.

[157] Miller R. J. and Vasquez R., "Analysis of production and reservoir performance at the Casa Diablo geothermal project," in *Thirteenth Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering*, California, 1988.

[158] Gallup D., "Combination Flash--Bottoming Cycle Geothermal Power Generation: Scale Inhibition at Bulalo Field," 1997, pp. 268-275.

[159] Capuno V. T., Maria R. B. S., and Minguez E. B., "Mak-Ban Geothermal Field, Philippines: 30 Years of Commercial Operation," in *World Geothermal Congress 2010*, Bali, 2010.

[160] Council N. R., "Northland regional council annual environmental monitoring report 2001-2002," 2002.

[161] Glover R. B. and Scott T. M., "Geochemical Monitoring Before and During Six Years of Power Generation at Ngawha, New Zealand," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[162] Carvalho J. M., Silva J. M. M. D., Ponte C. A. B. D., and Cabecas R. M., "Portugal Geothermal Country Update 2005," in *World Geothermal Congress 2005*, Antalya, 2005.

[163] Legmann H. and Citrin D., "First twelve months of operation of the 60 MW Mokai geothermal Project A High Pressure, Sustainable and Environmentally Benign Power Plant," in *The 5th Inaga annual scientific conference and exhibitions*, Yogyakarta, 2001.

[164] Horie T. and Muto T., "The World's Largest Single Cyclinder Geothermal Power Generation Unit-Nga Awa Purua Geothermal Power Station, New Zealand: GRC Transactions, v. 34," 2010.

Appendix A: Table A.1 – A.4.

Table A.1: The single flash and dry steam power plant.

Country	Field (Plant name)	No. Unit	Type	Start Date	Installed Capacity (MWe)	Running Capacity (MWe)	ṁ (t/h)	ṁs (t/h)	ṁf (t/h)	h (kJ/kg)	Reference
Russia	Pauzhetka	3	1F	1967	11	11	864	-	-	780	[6, 68]
Turkey	Kizildere	1	1F	1984	20.4	10	1000	114*	886*	875	[6, 10, 11]
Japan	Oita (Takigami)	1	1F	1996	25	25	1270	-	-	925	[6, 69]
Japan	Akita (Onuma)	1	1F	1974	9.5	9.5	540	107	433	966	[6, 17, 69]
Japan	Iwate (Kakkonda)	2	1F	1978	80	75	2917	416	2501	992	[69-71]
Japan	Miyagi (Onikobe)	1	1F	1975	12.5	12.5	625	-	-	1020	[17, 69, 72, 73]
USA	Utah-Roosevelt Hot Springs (Blundell1)	1	1F	1984	26	23	1020	180	840	1062	[32, 63]
Costa Rica	Miravalles (1,2,3,Well heat unit)	4	1F	1993	144	132.5	5634	1188*	4446*	1107	[23, 74, 75]
France	Bouillante 2	1	1F	2004	11	11	450	90	360	1110	[46, 76, 77]
El Salvador	Ahuahapan (U1,2)	2	1F	1975	60	53.3	1848	373	1475	1115	[78, 79]
Indonesia	Gunung Salak	6	1F	1994	330	330	11520	2520	9000	1149	[80-82]
Philippines	Mindanao (Mindanao1)	1	1F	1997	54.24	54.24	1515	-	-	1175	[83, 84]
Mexico	Las Tres Virgenes	2	1F	2002	10	10	265	63	202	1188	[85, 86]
Nicaragua	Momotombo (Unit1-2)	2	1F	1983	70	29	1350	-	-	1250	[64, 87]
El Salvador	Berlin (U1,2,3)	3	1F	1999	100	100	2790	774	2016	1270	[78, 79]
Guatemala	Amatitlan-Geotermica Calderas	1	1F	2003	5	5	110	-	-	1300	[88, 89]
Mexico	Cerro Prieto (CP-1, Unit 1-4)	4	1F	1973	150	131	1300	450	850	1396	[23, 52, 85, 90]
Iceland	Svartsengi (Unit5)	1	1F	1999	30	30	792	288	504	1448	[40, 91]
Philippines	Southern Negros (Palinpinon1, 2)	7	1F	1983	192.5	192.5	3500	-	-	1450	[6, 92]
Philippines	Leyte (Mahanagdong)	6	1F	1997	198	198	3958	-	-	1482	[93, 94]
Japan	Akita (Sumikawa)	1	1F	1995	50	46.5	878	-	-	1500	[69, 95, 96]
Iceland	Nesjavellir (unit 1,2)	2	1F	1998	60	60	1339	475	864	1500	[15, 97]
Russia	Mutnovzky, Kamchatka	5	1F	1998	62	62	1118	496*	622*	1600	[6, 23, 68]
Mexico	Cerro Prieto (CP-4)	4	1F	2000	100	94	1785	1020	765	1877	[23, 52, 90, 98]
Japan	Fukushima (Yanaizu-Nishiyama)	1	1F	1995	65	65	750	450	300	1882	[42, 69]
Philippines	BacMan (Palayan, Cawayan, Botong)	4	1F	1993	150	150	2590	450	300	1990	[6, 23, 99, 100]
Mexico	Los Azufres	12	1F	1982	185	185	2184	1668	516	2030	[85, 101]
Kenya	Olkaria (Olkaria1)	3	1F	1981	45	31	410	285	125	2120	[102, 103]
Indonesia	Sulawesi (Lahendong- U1)	1	1F	2002	20	20	206.7	144	62.7	2206	[80, 104, 105]
PNG	Lihir	4	1F	2003	36	36	830	-	-	2250	[6, 106, 107]
Japan	Akita (Uenotai)	1	1F	1994	28.8	28.8	340	-	-	2350	[54, 69, 108]
Mexico	Los Humeros	7	1F	1990	42	40	657	543	114	2413	[43, 53, 85]
Japan	Tokyo (Hachijyojima)	1	1F	1999	3.3	3.3	44	40*	4*	2582	[14, 69]
USA	California-The Geyser	24	D	1971	1529	833	6950	6950	-	2650	[32, 79, 109-111]
New Zealand	Wairakei (Pohipi)	1	D	1996	25	25	200	200	-	2750	[6, 112, 113]
Italy	Larderello	21	D	1985	542.5	411.7	3060	3060	-	2770	[6, 114]
Indonesia	Darajat	2	1F	1994	145	145	907	907	-	2783	[6, 7, 105]
Indonesia	Java (Kamojang)	3	D	1982	140	140	1086	1086	-	2792	[6, 23, 105]
Italy	Travale/Radicondoli	6	D	1986	160	126.6	1080	1080	-	2793	[114, 115]
Japan	Iwate (Matsukawa)	1	D	1966	23.5	23.5	201	201	-	2797	[6, 17, 69]

*Mass of steam and brine are calculated based on separator pressures.

Table A.2: The double flash power plant data.

Country	Field (Plant name)	No. Unit	Start Date	Installed Capacity (Mwe)	Running Capacity (MWe)	ṁ (t/h)	$\dot{m}_{s1}$ (t/h)	$\dot{m}_{s2}$ (t/h)	$\dot{m}_{f}$ (t/h)	h (kJ/kg)	Reference
USA	California-East Mesa(GEM2, 3)	2	1989	37	34.2	3116	196*	172*	2748*	697	[48, 116, 117]
Mexico	Cerro Prieto (CP-1, Unit5)	1	1982	35	26.25	2550	143*	121*	2286	742	[23, 85, 90, 118]
USA	Nevada (Brady Hot Springs)	3	1992	26	20	2630	188*	182*	2 260*	750	[44, 119]
USA	California-Heber (Heber)	1	1985	52	47	3720	302*	256*	3162*	771	[48, 49, 116]
USA	Nevada (Beowawe)	1	1985	16.7	16.7	817	109*	65*	643*	900	[45, 120, 121]
El Salvador	Ahuahapan (U3)	1	1981	35	28	924	-	-	737	1091	[78, 79]
France	Bouillante 1	1	1984	4	4.7	150	30	12	108	1092	[46, 76]
Japan	Oita(hatchobaru)	2	1977	110	80	2556	535*	220*	1801*	1125	[6, 23, 48, 122]
New Zealand	Reporoa (Ohaaki)	2	1998	46.7	46.7	1400	269*	74*	1057*	1150	[6, 51]
Japan	Mori	1	1982	50	50	1724	388	121	1215	1199	[47, 69, 123]
Iceland	Hellisheidi	5	2006	210	213	5679	1944	216	3519	1365	[50, 124, 125]
New Zealand	Kawerau	1	2008	100	95.7	1875	465	180	1230	1300	[51, 126, 127]
Mexico	Cerro Prieto(CP-2)	2	1984	220	172.5	5821	2021	281	3519	1442	[23, 52, 85, 90]
Mexico	Cerro Prieto(CP-3)	2	1985	220	182	4550	1825	131	2594	1519	[23, 52, 85, 90]
Philippines	Leyte (Tongonan 1)	3	1983	112.5	112.5	1389	721*	70*	598*	1750	[48, 128, 129]
Iceland	Krafla	2	1977	60	60	986	535*	43*	408*	1825	[6, 48, 130]
Philippines	Mindanao (Mindanao2)	1	1999	54.24	54.24	770	431*	17*	322*	1850	[48, 83, 131]
Philippines	Makiling-Banahaw (Plant A,B,C)	6	1979	330	330	3942	2207	-	1735	1910	[66, 67]

*Mass of steam and brine are calculated based on separator pressures.

Table A.3: The binary power plant data.

Country	Field (Plant name)	No. Unit	Start Date	Installed Capacity (MWe)	Running Capacity (MWe)	ṁ (t/h)	T_{in} (℃)	T_{out} (℃)	h (kJ/kg)	Reference
USA	Alaska (Chena Hot Springs)	2	2006	0.5	0.4	471	73	57	306	[4, 5]
USA	Wyoming-Casper (Rmotc-Ghcg)	1	2008	0.25	0.171	166	91	52	381	[132, 133]
Germany	Neustadt-Glew	1	2003	0.23	0.165	93	95	70	398	[134, 135]
USA	Nevada (Wabuska)	3	1984	2.2	1.5	407	104	62*	436	[120, 136]
Australa	Altheim	1	2002	1	0.5	172	106	70	444	[137, 138]
Australa	Blumau	1	2001	0.2	0.18	103	110	85	461	[137, 139, 140]
USA	California-Honey Lake (Wineagle)	2	1985	0.7	0.6	226	110	82*	461	[120, 141]
China	Nagqu	1	1993	1	1	300	112	77*	470	[6, 142]
Thailand	Fang	1	1989	0.3	0.175	28	116	55*	487	[6, 137, 143]
Germany	Unter-Haching (Unter-Haching)	1	2009	3.36	3.36	424	120	44*	504	[134, 144]
USA	California-East Mesa (Ormesa IE)	10	1989	10	9	1054	136	58*	527	[116, 117, 145]
USA	Idaho (Raft River)	1	2007	13	10	1440	140	85*	589	[32, 146, 147]
USA	California-East Mesa (Ormesa 1)	26	1987	24	24	2652	147	80*	619	[116, 117, 145]
Germany	Landau (landau)	1	2008	3	3	231	150	56*	632	[134, 148]
USA	California-East Mesa (Ormesa IH)	12	1989	12	10.8	935	153	71*	645	[116, 117, 145]
USA	California-East Mesa (Ormesa 2)	20	1988	20	18	1555	154	73*	650	[116, 117, 145]
France	Soultz-Sous-Forets	1	2008	1.5	1.5	98	155	49*	654	[76, 149, 150]
Nicaragua	Momotombo (Unit3)	1	2002	7.5	6	628	155	100	654	[64, 87]
USA	Nevada-Washoe (Steamboat1,1A,2,3)	13	1986	35.1	31	6120	160	126*	676	[120, 151, 152]
USA	California-Heber (Heber2)	12	1993	33	33.5	3266	166	100	702	[116, 153]
Turkey	Salavatli	1	2006	7.4	6.5	545	170	80	710	[6, 154, 155]
USA	California-Casa Diablo (MP-1,2/ LES-1)	10	1984	40	40	3240	175	100*	741	[32, 156, 157]
Philippines	Makiling-Banahaw (Binary 1, 2, 3, 4)	6	1994	15.73	15.73	800	177	132	750	[158, 159]
USA	Utah-Roosevelt Hot Springs (Blundell2)	1	2007	11	10	840	177	88	750	[32, 63]
Mexico	Los Azufres (U-11,12)	2	1993	3	3	280	180	117*	763	[62, 85]
El Salvador	Berlin (U4)	1	2008	9.4	8	1018	185	140*	785	[61, 78]
USA	Nevada-Fallon (Soda Lake1)	3	1987	3.6	2.7	181	188	105*	799	[32, 120]
New Zealand	Northland (Ngawha)	2	1997	10	8	417	228	142*	975	[65, 160, 161]
Japan	Oita (hatchobaru)	1	2006	2	2	82.1	246	146*	1068	[23, 69]
New Zealand	Te Huka	1	2010	24	21.8	750	250	133*	1086	[51]
Portugal	Ribeira Grabde	4	1994	13	13	452	253	139*	1100	[6, 162]

*outlet temperature is calculated using Equation 17.

Table A.4: The hybrid binary power plant data.

Country	Field (Plant name)	No. Unit	Type	Start Date	Installed Capacity (MWe)	Running Capacity (MWe)	ṁ (t/h)	h (kJ/kg)	Reference
New Zealand	Mokai (Mokai1)	6	Hybrid binary	2000	68	54.2	1168	1338	[6, 112, 163]
New Zealand	Rotokawa	5	Hybrid binary	1997	35	31	443	1550	[6, 51, 112]

Table A.5: The triple flash power plant data.

Country	Field (Plant name)	No. Unit	Start Date	Installed Capacity (Mwe)	Running Capacity (MWe)	$\dot{m}$ (t/h)	$\dot{m}_{s1}$ (t/h)	$\dot{m}_{s2}$ (t/h)	$\dot{m}_{s3}$ (t/h)	$\dot{m}_f$ (t/h)	h (kJ/kg)	Reference
New Zealand	Nga Awa Purua	1	2010	140	139	1875	617	111	105	1042	1560	[164]