

Environmental Issues and Geothermal Development in New Zealand

G.W. Coulter

1. The various physical and chemical properties which commonly characterise geothermal effluents and may cause deleterious effects to natural environments have been described by Ellis¹, and there is other recent literature on specific effects. In the first diploma course of the Auckland University Geothermal Institute, lectures were given on airborne and waterborne wastes. This paper will therefore not refer in detail to specific geothermal effluents, but rather deal with some environmental implications of geothermal exploitation and environmental issues in relation to particular areas of New Zealand.

2. The following are some general features of geothermal exploitation which have environmental implications.

2.1 Quantities of potential pollutants in geothermal effluents may vary greatly from field to field. The capacity of natural systems to assimilate pollutants and the risk of damage they may cause are also very variable. So different sets of environmental problems are likely to arise for each development.

In power generation from coal or nuclear fuels, mining, processing and generation usually occur at separate sites, but in geothermal generation the fuel cycle is restricted to the site, in principle reducing stresses on the environment.

Geothermal power stations have low thermal efficiencies (around 10%) compared to fossil fuel or nuclear stations, and water dominated fields may present thermal pollution problems. In this regard, disposal of excess condensate in steam dominated fields is less difficult.

Geothermal effluent may have direct toxic effects on plants and animals, and the plant nutrients it contains may stimulate production of algae and rooted plants in water bodies increasing risk of nuisance growths. Other considerations include the incremental effect a discharge may have in reducing the assimilative capacity of a particular environment (e.g. lake or river), and the biological availability of pollutants which depends on the chemical form in which they are released or deposited.

Assessment of the producing potential of a borefield and characterisation of its chemistry generally require prolonged discharge. Thus large quantities of steam and water are often produced at the drilling and testing stage when reinjection capability or other means of organised waste disposal are commonly not available.

The design of components of geothermal plant concerned with waste disposal usually depends to a large extent on the susceptibility of the site area to pollution. Information on the likely extent of pollution must come from ecological and other investigations. These take more than a year to complete where they concern seasonal biological or climatic changes, and so must be initiated at an early stage. Early action is required also for environmental reports.

Solution of most environmental pollution problems is closely linked to the development of engineering techniques. In principle,

these problems could generally be eliminated or much reduced by the use of known technology. But in practice many promising techniques for effluent disposal or treatment have yet to be proved on an adequate scale; for example, reinjection into a producing field. The geothermal industry is still at an early stage in the application of many known methods.

Simultaneous use of a geothermal resource for different purposes may vary in their environmental consequences. Conflict of interests may occur, e.g. withdrawal of fluid for power production has caused decay of hot-spring activity of value to tourism.

Tourism is a strong contender for first consideration where established or in areas of scenic beauty. It may be the industry best able to make good use of geothermal energy.

Geothermal exploitation can have a direct impact on people, and either noise, nuisance smells or visual effects may be considered in particular cases to have greater disruptive effects than effluent pollution.

Nevertheless, public acceptance of geothermal development is better than for most other energy producing technologies, it being generally regarded as clean. It is not associated with concern for safety as is nuclear generation.

2.2 In the same context, some particular features of geothermal fields in New Zealand are:

Most of the exploitable resource is located in the Taupo Volcanic Zone, a relatively small but environmentally sensitive part of the country.

Geothermal fields in this zone appear to be water dominated. Ngawha field in Northland is expected to be New Zealand's first dry steam field.

3. Main environmental issues can be considered in relation to particular areas, as follows.

3.1 The Waikato River.

The majority of possibly exploitable fields in New Zealand appear to be within the Waikato River drainage basin. Almost all geothermal inputs to the river occur in the upper 100 km. They profoundly influence water chemistry along the entire river, elevating concentrations of various metals well above levels usual for fresh water and increasing the loading of plant nutrients⁴. On the basis of mass flows of chloride, probably a conservative constituent, first approximation calculations indicate a total flow of 6 to 9 m³/s of geothermal fluid from natural sources, entering by seepage through the river bed as well as by tributaries draining geothermal fields. In addition, Wairakei power station discharges about 4000 m³/h of hot effluent, and river temperature is further raised by direct use for condenser cooling.

A recent comprehensive report on the Waikato River⁵, the chemical and biological changes which take place in the geothermal reach between Taupo and Ohakuri largely determine the biological character of the lower river below Cambridge. Although the lower river receives larger increments of the nutrients nitrogen and phosphorus, this does not lead to major increases in phytoplankton because residence time of the water there is only about two days. The report also states that

the river has only a very limited potential for assimilating more wastes without causing nighttime oxygen concentrations to drop below 5 g/m³ in the critical reach from Ngaruawahia to Tuakau¹. Concentrations of potentially toxic constituents contributed largely from geothermal sources do not appear at present to reach undesirable levels, with the possible exception of arsenic.

The Waikato is not typical of New Zealand rivers because of its length and extended water residence time. It represents the most intensively developed hydroelectric resource in the country, the catchment is one of the most populous and contributes a large proportion of the total agricultural and industrial production. It is presently under stress, likely to come under further stress, and is in need of careful management².

Clearly a central issue from environmental and utilization viewpoints is to maintain the water quality at least at the present level. Development of industry associated with geothermal fields in the catchment, e.g. sulphur extraction at Rotokawa or pulp/timber processing at Taupo-Tauhara or Atiamuri fields², will produce various types of effluent, and development for electric power will of course produce much waste water and condensate. Disposal of those effluents poses a major technical problem. Re-injection would be an excellent solution providing that not much leakage to groundwater occurs.

The generally good water quality of the river and the apparent rarity of events such as mortality among aquatic biota which might indicate short-term increases in potentially toxic constituents, argues that the river system satisfactorily assimilates the geothermal and other wastes. On the other hand, eutrophic conditions in summer and probable sensitivity to additional increments of pollutants indicate that margins for further assimilation are small. Much less is known about river biology than its physical and chemical characteristics³, and the opportunity provided to determine possible effects on aquatic biota of prolonged exposure to geothermal discharges, including that of a power station, is rare and perhaps unique. There are indications that trout populations are under stress⁴, and significant levels of mercury have been measured in trout⁵.

In short, the river is strongly influenced throughout its length by geothermal input in its upper reaches, Wairakei power station imposes a distinct chemical character, and geothermal springs contribute much to nutrient loadings. Further geothermal input is definitely undesirable.

Near the Waioatapu stream which drains to the river from a hot spring area, cases of sheep and cattle sickness and death are commonly attributed to drinking stream water. Whether or not this assumption is correct, it would be interesting to know whether particular elements tend to accumulate in tissues of stock in geothermal areas and the tolerances of stock to geothermal effluents.

32 Rotorua Lakes area.

Rather different environmental issues are raised by possible geothermal development in the catchment and drainage area of the Rotorua lakes. Conservation of hot spring phenomena and of landscapes are major considerations. The tourist industry centers mainly on the geothermal features and the lakes. The Rotorua geothermal reservoir is intensively exploited, Whakarewarewa geysers

bring a particularly well-known tourist attraction, and the shallow reservoir under Rotorua City supplying hot water to many wells. Recently awareness has increased that expanded or even continued use of the resource for heating will modify hydrothermal activity at Whakarewarewa. The existence of the geysers is threatened, since small changes in aquifer pressure disturb the delicate mechanism which maintains their activity. In a similar way, utilisation of nearby Ruahine Springs field for energy may be expected to pose problems of conflict with established tourist spots. Much less is known, however, about this reservoir.

Another of the main issues will be the effects upon the lakes. Concern about symptoms of eutrophication in Lake Rotorua has stimulated much public interest in the consequences of nutrient enrichment. Lakes Rotorua and Rototiti are presently influenced by chemical inputs from geothermal sources. The importance of these inputs is not clear, but some streams flowing into L. Rotorua, e.g., the Ngahewa, are nutrient enriched especially in nitrogen, as are many hot springs entering L. Rototiti from the Tikitike region and from the lake bottom. Nitrogen appears to limit phytoplankton in L. Rotorua during summer growth maxima. Heat flow rate through the bottom of L. Rototiti has been estimated as 150 MW. The lakes will clearly be sensitive to changes which could be brought about by geothermal exploitation. In L. Rotorua especially, nutrient addition would have deleterious results. Withdrawal of fluid from Ruahine and Taheke reservoirs may be expected to modify, perhaps lessen, the flux of fluid and heat to both lakes.

In this area, aesthetic considerations will affect the siting of industrial plant, while Wairakei station attracts many visitors because of its technical pioneering history and the spectacle of well-head steam plumes, this will hardly be repeated for new stations. Concealment of buildings, reduction of steam escape, noise and smell are likely to be necessary.

Conflict between the interests of tourism and of heat utilisation at Rotorua may be solved by management of the resource, in other words by continually assessing the reservoir and if necessary restricting withdrawals from it. The Wairakei field has of course been managed for some time to sustain power output, but management to satisfy multiple and possibly conflicting uses, each at some compromise level, is a different concept. Users who withdraw energy or who wish to maintain surface geothermal activity, are in competition for a limited resource. There are obvious analogies with utilisation of surface water bodies, or perhaps more closely with resources exploited to give a maxima sustainable yield.

The idea of multi-use management has important environmental implications. On one hand conservation and tourism are recognised as distinct and valid uses of a geothermal field. On the other, simultaneous withdrawal of energy is not necessarily precluded if compromise conditions are possible.

3.3 Kawerau field on the lower Tararua River presently provides 180 tonnes per hour of steam for pulp and paper processing, and 400 to 500 t/h of geothermal effluent is discharged direct to the river. Further exploitation of this promising field could rapidly raise the ratio of effluent to river volume to a level representing significant pollution; e.g. a 100 MW power station would probably increase present geothermal effluent about six times. But the lower 22 km of the river is already polluted by pulp mill waste which causes dissolved oxygen concentration to sag drastically. Efforts are being

made to improve pulp waste treatment and water quality.

This difficult situation poses a challenge more perhaps to the principle of environmental conservation than because of any reason of utility at present. Users of river water downstream are few, and the only obvious evidence of pollution is its black colour. There are many examples overseas where in similar circumstances no adequate treatment has been applied, and rivers have in effect been written off as industrial sewers. Such situations present an issue in which economic reasons have to be balanced against more subjective ones.

3.4 The Ngawha field in Northland differs markedly in geology and chemistry from the other fields. When more is known of the likely character and quantity of effluent, together with possibilities for reclamation, its environmental impact may be estimated.

4. References

- 1** Ellis, A.J. 1978. Environmental impact of geothermal development. Report for United Nations Environment Programme.
- 2** Kear, D., J.T. Lumb and F.E. Studt, 1978. New Zealand geothermal exploration and exploitation developments. Circum-Pacific Energy and Mineral Resources Conference, Honolulu.
- 3** Timperley, M.H. 1979. Metals in the waters of the Waikato River. New Zealand Journal of Science, Vol.22, in press.
- 4** Coulter, G.W. 1977. The ecological impact on the Waikato River of untreated effluent from the proposed Broadlands Geothermal Power Station. Report 26, New Zealand Energy Research and Development Committee, Auckland.
- 3** Anon. 1979. The Waikato River. Water and Soil Technical Publication, No.11. Ministry of Works and Development, Wellington.
- 6** Weissberg, B.G. and M.G.R. Zobel, 1973. Geothermal mercury pollution in New Zealand. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 9 : 148-155.